Pro	ogram Review Maryland State Correctional Facility:					
	Date					
Lea	ad:					
A.	General Case Management	Compliance	Deficiency	Exceeds Standards	Recommendation	Not Applicable
1	A directive is in place which ensures that when classifying inmates that case data, participation, and public safety is considered.					
2	Initial classification occurred within 15 days of the inmate's arrival. (intake facility)					
3	Subsequent classification reviews are done at least annually.					
4	Inmates appear at their classification hearing, unless waived by the inmate (in writing) or precluded for security or other reasons.					
5	Inmates are given 48 hours notice prior to the classification hearing. <b>ACA</b>					
6	Criminal history verification is conducted routinely and according to policy and procedure.					
7	Individual program screening is done routinely, accurately, and according to policy and procedure.					
8	Orientation occurred within 7 days of the inmate's arrival to the facility.					
9	Initial assignment occurred within 30 days of arrival.					
10	Routine assessment of security and program needs are completed and documented according to policy and procedure. (ICP)					

		App	endix	1a to	) CM	M 24
11	Risk assessment scores are present.					
12	Notification is sent to inmate regarding results of case management actions.					
13	Relevant entries entered in Confidential Notes.					
14	OCMS primary assignment history coincides with case management actions and policy.					
15	Base files are stamped <b>CONFIDENTIAL</b> , maintained in a secure area, and filing order is correct.					
16	Alerts such as, but not limited to DNA, enemies, SOR, PREA, and escape are appropriately placed and processed.					
17	If applicable, waiver of extradition for current security level is present.					
		Com	Def	Exceeds Standards	Recommendation	Not Applicable
В. :	Segregation and Protective Custody	Compliance	Deficiency	ndards	dation	icable
B. 5	Segregation and Protective Custody  The inmate signed and was provided a copy of the Notice of Assignment to Administrative Segregation.	pliance 🔲	iciency	ndards 🔲	ndation	icable $\Box$
	The inmate signed and was provided a copy of the Notice of	pliance 🔲 🔲	iciency 🔲 🔲	ndards 🔲 🔲	ndation	icable 🔲 🔲
18	The inmate signed and was provided a copy of the Notice of Assignment to Administrative Segregation.  A completed Administrative Segregation investigative report and	pliance 🔲 🔲 🔲	iciency 🔲 🔲 🔲	ndards 🔲 🔲 🔲	dation 🔲 🔲 🔲	icable 🔲 🔲 🔲
18 19	The inmate signed and was provided a copy of the Notice of Assignment to Administrative Segregation.  A completed Administrative Segregation investigative report and supporting documentation was available at the time of the review.  The inmate was reviewed by a team within 5 working days of	pliance 🔲 🔲 🔲	iciency 🔲 🔲 🔲	ndards 🔲 🔲 🔲	dation 🔲 🔲 🔲	icable 🔲 🔲 🔲
18 19 20	The inmate signed and was provided a copy of the Notice of Assignment to Administrative Segregation.  A completed Administrative Segregation investigative report and supporting documentation was available at the time of the review.  The inmate was reviewed by a team within 5 working days of placement on Administrative Segregation.  The Warden/designee reviewed the team's recommendation within	pliance 🔲 🔲 🔲 🔲	iciency 🔲 🔲 🔲 🔲	ndards 🔲 🔲 🔲 🔲	dation 🔲 🔲 🔲 🔲	icable 🔲 🔲 🔲 🔲

		Appendix 1a to CM				M 24
24	Verification exists that Segregation reviews are being conducted monthly.					
25	Verification exists that Protective Custody reviews are being conducted annually.					
26	Inmates on Administrative Segregation or Protective Custody are reviewed every 7 days for the first 2 months of placement and at least every 30 days thereafter. <b>ACA</b>					
27	If applicable, the inmate had an annual Administrative Segregation review signed by the Commissioner/designee.					
28	Verification exists that the inmate is notified after each review of the Warden/designee's or Commissioner/designee's decision.					
29	If applicable, the reclassification instrument has been completed.					
30	The Record of Confinement Housing Forms are completed and maintained in the base file.					
C	Applicable to Parole Violators	Compliance	Deficiency	Exceeds Standards	Recommendation	Not Applicable
31	The revocation hearing has been conducted and documented properly.					
32	If applicable, the case management action has been completed.					

D.	Release Procedures	Compliance	Deficiency	Exceeds Standards	Recommendation	Not Applicable
33	The facility maintains written policy and procedure governing the release of inmates.					
34	Appropriate background checks were completed and within timeframes of policy.					
35	The inmate was released with the proper Release Documents.					
36	Proper notifications were made to affected agencies as required by law or regulation.					
37	A release case plan was developed in appropriate cases as a closure of this period of incarceration or the DOC's official handoff to DPP for supervision.					
38	If appropriate, the release envelope was completed in accordance with OPS.230.0005 and includes all required signatures.					
39	For release plans, the proper release requirements have been addressed (e.g., DNA, SOR, Statewide Transfer Alert, restitution).					
40	Releases conducted in accordance with OPS.230.0005 contained a complete Release Directive Checklist signed by a supervisor.					